

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

MARC VEASEY, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RICK PERRY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-193 (NGR)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

TEXAS LEAGUE OF YOUNG VOTERS  
EDUCATION FUND, *et al.*,

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF HISPANIC  
COUNTY JUDGES AND COUNTY  
COMMISSIONERS, *et al.*,

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-263 (NGR)

TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP  
BRANCHES, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NANDITA BERRY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-291 (NGR)

BELINDA ORTIZ, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Defendants

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-348 (NGR)

**[Proposed] ORDER**

Having reviewed Texas legislators' motions to quash (ECF Nos. 290 & 296) and the United States' opposition thereto (ECF No. \_\_\_\_), the legislators' motions are **DENIED**. Depositions of legislators and aides subject to the jurisdiction of this Court shall proceed forthwith on mutually agreeable dates. The deponents may invoke a state legislative privilege in response to particular questions, but the deponent must then answer the question subject to the privilege. Those portions of the deposition transcript may then be designated as highly confidential pursuant to Paragraph 2.1 of the Consent Protective Order (ECF No. 65) and submitted to the Court for *in camera* review, along with a motion to compel, if the party taking

the deposition wishes to use the testimony in these proceedings.

**SO ORDERED.**

Date:

---

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE